

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,232	03/06/2006	Eva Saar	2345/224	1770	
26646 7550 01/29/2010 KENYON & KENYON LLP			EXAMINER		
ONE BROAD	ONE BROADWAY		FIELDS, COURTNEY D		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2437		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/550,232	SAAR ET AL.		
Examiner		Art Unit		
	COURTNEY D. FIELDS	2437		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application, application and the subject of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavir, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): — would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the
non-allowable dalim(s). 7. ⊠ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) reached to:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

<u>A</u> l	FFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8.	☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

٠L	
	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide
	showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. 🕻	X	The request for reconsideration has been	considered but does NOT	place the application in co	ndition for allowance because:
		See Continuation Sheet.			

12. Note the	attached Information	Disclosure Statement	s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
13. Other: _			

/Emmanuel L. Moise/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant contends that the prior art. Nassor does not appear to identically disclose using signatures in order to release a data processing unit to process project data belonging to the project once the signature is verified as correct nor handling a plurality of value units. The Examiner disagrees and asserts that Nassor discloses generating a signature by signing predetermined project data in Column 8, lines 1-28, verifying the apprature for correctness in Column 8, lines 9-15, and using the signature in order to release the memory in the data processing unit in Column 8, lines 15-24. Nassor discloses a plurality of applications (i.e. value units) which are loaded into the programmable memory of the case Column 7, lines 60-67, Column 8, lines 1-8. Therefore, the rejection of claims 17-28 is maintained in view of the reasons above and in view of the previous final office action.